

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/847,645 | 05/01/2001 | Charles L. Asbury | UW - Asbury | 6607 | |
| 7: | 590 11/06/2002 | • | | | |
| Delbet J. Barnard | | | EXAMINER | | |
| BARNARD & PAULY, P.S. P.O. Box 58888 | | | VANORE, DAVID A | | |
| Seattle, WA 98138-1888 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2881 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| i | | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | | 09/847,645 | ASBURY ET AL. |
| ٠ | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | David A Vanore | 2881 |
| | - The MAILING DATE of this communication | appears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence addr ss |
| Period fo | r Keply Drtened Statutory Period for Ri | EDLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 | MONTH(S) FROM |
| THE N - Exten after - If the - If NO - Failu | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION) Is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may in. a reply within the statutory minimum of t eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M | a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | 71110 404011 10 1 11 11 11 11 | This action is non-final. | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up | illowance except for formal r nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 | natters, prosecution as to the ments is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | , | |
| | Claim(s) is/are pending in the app | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit | hdrawn from consideration. | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction a | and/or election requirement. | |
| | ion Papers | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Exa | miner. | ted to by the Everniner |
| 10)⊠ | The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 May 2001</u> is/ard | e: a) accepted or b) object | evance See 37 CFR 1.85(a) |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) I annroved h) | disapproved by the Examiner. |
| 11) | If approved, corrected drawings are required | | disapproved by the Enterminent |
| 42)□ | The oath or declaration is objected to by the | | |
| | | no Examino. | |
| | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f | oreian priority under 35 U.S. | C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a didim for the control of the control o | oroign phoney and a constant | |
| a) | 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu | ıments have been received. | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority docu | | n Application No |
| | 3 Copies of the certified copies of the | e priority documents have be | een received in this National Stage |
| * | application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for | nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a a list of the certified copies | not received. |
| 14) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do | mestic priority under 35 U.S | .C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) |
| 15) | a) The translation of the foreign langua Acknowledgment is made of a claim for defection | ge provisional application ha omestic priority under 35 U.S | s been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. |
| Attachme | | | |
| 2) Not | ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper | (48) 5) Notic | iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) : |
| | To describ Office | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/847,645

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 1-18 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howie et al.

Howie et al. teaches a device for sample analysis comprising a polarized radiation source (2), a flow chamber (15), and a plurality of signal detectors (4, 17) arranged at a desired angle around the flow chamber (Note Fig. 3) as recited in claims 1, 6, 11, 12, and 13.

Given that Howie et al. teaches the placement of detectors to "surround the cell" (Col. 6 Lines 29-65), the detection apparatus of Howie et al. teaches that detectors may

Application/Control Number: 09/847,645

Art Unit: 2881

be placed at any orientation to the polarized radiation source and flow chamber including at 54.7 degrees from the direction of polarization or 35.3 degrees from the direction of polarization as recited in claims 5, 10, 11, 12, and 18.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to select the optimum value of the position of the detectors because one of ordinary skill in the art would select the position of the detectors for the analysis of a desired scattered radiation.

Claims 2-4, 7-9, and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howie et al. in view of Batchelder et al.

Howie et al. teaches all limitations as applied above, but does not teach the rearranging of the detector, flow cell, and light source such that the sample flow is orthogonal to a detector or radiation source, and that is parallel to a direction of polarization of the incident radiation.

Batchelder et al. teaches a flow cytometer comprising a polarized radiation source (10), a flow capillary (28), and detectors (52 and 54) where the direction of polarization is selectable to include being parallel to the flow trajectory, and the sample stream is orthogonal to the radiation source and detection means.

Batchelder et al. modifies the device of Howie et al. to produce a flow cytometer with selectable polarization states of incident radiation and a plurality of detection means to receive scattered radiation at a selected angle where the flow cell, radiation source and detection means are arranged as described above.

Application/Control Number: 09/847,645

Art Unit: 2881

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Howie et al. with the device of Batchelder et al. because one of ordinary skill would have arranged the components of Howie et al. to achieve the optimum detection conditions. One of ordinary skill in the art would have known to select the polarization state relative to the direction of sample flow and arranged the detectors accordingly because Batchelder teaches this arrangement.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A Vanore whose telephone number is 703-306-0246. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Lee can be reached on 703-308-4116. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

dav

October 29, 2002